

I request to add the following additional violations of Article 6 to my application, based on the same Statement of Facts and appended documents.

**Violations of Article 6 by the (pseudo-)committee of 20-20 Housing Co-operative.**

The official position insisted on by the barrister and judges was that it was for the (pseudo-)20-20 committee to adjudicate on whether I was guilty of any breaches of the tenancy agreement and whether I should therefore be sentenced to eviction (by means of the county court effectively rubber-stamping its confirmation of no possible defence against the claim).

It follows that the (pseudo-)20-20 committee were being given recognition by the county court as being authorised to conduct what for all practical purposes was a criminal trial against me. (And in my Statement para 151(2) I explained how such housing organisations have been given the statutory status of in effect criminal prosecutors and adjudicators by reason of recent national legislation.) It follows that in such conduct the 20-20 clique were functioning as a public authority, hence subject to the ECHR, notwithstanding their being devoid of legitimate claim to be the true management of 20-20 (due to their systematic evasion of the required accountability to the non-clique membership, and being invalidly elected under conditions of illegitimate secretiveness, evasion and intimidation).

This secret committee of non-disinterested persons functioned as prosecutor, judge and jury all in one. There was not even a hearing let alone a public one, nor any opportunity for me to make representations to this secret criminal prosecution. And not even a single word of explanation of any procedure of this secret trial has been forthcoming.

Thereby the (pseudo-)20-20 committee members totally violated every one of the elements of Article 6. (This is in addition to their extensive violations of the Housing Corporation's Residents Charter and Regulatory Code.)

Information pertinent to these violations can be found in my *Statement of Facts* para 30-31 (secrecy), and my *Application to Strike out the Claim* paras 9-36 (secrecy), and 73 and 81-85 (non-existence of any procedure for this trial).

I hereby declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information I have given above is correct.

Robin P Clarke  
15<sup>th</sup> May 2008